

# A Least Squared approach on estimating the Conditional Tail Expectation for Heavy Tailed distributions

El hadji DEME

LERSTAD, Université Gaston Berger de Saint-Louis, Sénégal

## 1 Introduction

Risk measures are thought of as mappings from a set of real-valued random variables to the real numbers. There exist several types of risk measures in the literature. We refer to Govaerts *et al.* (1984) for various examples and properties of such Risk measures. One of the most popular measures in hydrology and climate is undoubtedly the return period. A frequency analysis in hydrology focuses on the estimation of quantities (e.g., flows or annual rainfall) corresponding to a certain return period. It is closely related to the notion of quantile which has therefore been extensively studied. For a real value random variable  $X$  with  $\mathbb{E}[X] < \infty$ , that represents the magnitude of an event that occurs at a given time and at a given site, the quantile of order  $1 - \frac{1}{T}$  expresses the magnitude of the event which is exceeded with a probability equal to  $\frac{1}{T}$ .  $T$  is then called the return period. In the actuarial financial litterature, or more generally in the risk theory the quantile is known as the Value-at Risk (VaR) and it is defined by

$$Q(\alpha) = \inf\{x \in \mathbb{R}_+ : F(x) \geq \alpha\}, \quad \text{for } \alpha \in (0, 1),$$

with  $F$  the distribution function of event  $X$ . A second important risk measure, based on the quantile notion, is the Conditional-Tail-Expectation (CTE) defined by

$$CTE_\alpha[X] = \mathbb{E}(X|X > Q(\alpha)), \quad \text{for } \alpha \in (0, 1).$$

Since the distribution function  $F$  is continuous, we easily check that  $CTE_\alpha[X]$  is equal to

$$\mathbb{C}_\alpha[X] = \frac{1}{1 - \alpha} \int_\alpha^1 Q_n(s) ds.$$

Hence, from now on we work with  $\mathbb{C}_\alpha[X]$  and call it the CTE for short. Naturally, the CTE is unknown since the cdf  $F$  is unknown. Hence, it is desirable to establish appropriate statistical

inferential results such as confidence intervals for  $\mathbb{C}_\alpha[X]$  with specified confidence levels and margins of error.

Namely, suppose that we have  $(X_1, \dots, X_n)$  a sample of independent and identically distributed random variables from  $F$  and let  $X_{1,n} \leq \dots \leq X_{n,n}$  denote its order statistics.

A natural estimator for  $\mathbb{C}_\alpha[X]$  can be obtained by

$$\widehat{\mathbb{C}}_{n,\alpha}[X] = \frac{1}{1-\alpha} \int_\alpha^1 Q_n(s) ds. \quad (1)$$

where  $Q_n(s)$  is the empirical quantile function, which is equal to the  $i$ th order statistic  $X_{i,n}$  for all  $s \in ((i-1)/n, i/n]$ , and for all  $i = 1, \dots, n$ . The asymptotic behavior of the estimator  $\widehat{\mathbb{C}}_{n,\alpha}[X]$  has been studied by Brazauskas *et al.* (2008), when  $\mathbb{E}[X^2] < \infty$ .

This paper deals with the estimation problem of the CTE within the class of heavy-tailed distribution, i.e. we assume that

$$\bar{F}(x) = x^{-1/\gamma} \ell_F(x) \quad (2)$$

where  $\gamma > 0$  is the extreme value index and  $\ell_F$  is a slowly varying function at infinity satisfying  $\ell_F(\lambda x)/\ell_F(x) \rightarrow 1$  as  $x \rightarrow \infty$  for all  $\lambda > 0$ . Moreover we focus our paper on the case  $\gamma \in (\frac{1}{2}, 1)$  in order to ensure that the  $\mathbb{C}_\alpha[X]$  is finite for every  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$  and since in that case the results of Brazauskas *et al.* (2008) cannot be applied, the second moment of  $X$  being infinite.

The estimation of  $\gamma$  has been extensively studied in the literature and the most famous estimator is the Hill (1975) estimator defined as:

$$\widehat{\gamma}_{n,k}^H = \frac{1}{k} \sum_{j=1}^k j (\log X_{n-j+1,n} - \log X_{n-j,n}) \quad (3)$$

for an intermediate sequence  $k = k(n)$ , i.e. a sequence such that  $k \rightarrow \infty$  and  $k/n \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

Note that the  $\mathbb{C}_\alpha[X]$  can be rewritten by transformation into

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{C}_\alpha[X] &= \frac{1}{1-\alpha} \int_\alpha^{1-k/n} Q(s) ds + \frac{1}{1-\alpha} \int_0^{k/n} Q(1-s) ds. \\ &=: \mathbb{C}_\alpha^{(1)}[X] + \mathbb{C}_\alpha^{(2)}[X]. \end{aligned}$$

By taking into account different asymptotic properties of moderate and high quantiles in the case of heavy-tailed distributions, we obtain the following alternative estimator of the CTE

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{\mathbb{C}}_{n,\alpha}[X] &= \frac{1}{1-\alpha} \int_\alpha^{1-k/n} Q_n(s) ds + \frac{k/n}{(1-\alpha)(1-\widehat{\gamma}_{n,k}^H)} X_{n-k,n}. \\ &=: \widetilde{\mathbb{C}}_{n,\alpha}^{(1)}[X] + \widetilde{\mathbb{C}}_{n,\alpha}^{(2)}[X]. \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

We estimate  $\widetilde{\mathbb{C}}_{n,\alpha}^{(1)}[X]$  by using the same trick as for (1), whereas for  $\widetilde{\mathbb{C}}_{n,\alpha}^{(2)}[X]$  we use a Weissman estimator for  $Q$ :  $\widehat{Q}(1-s) := X_{n-k,n} \left(\frac{k}{n}\right)^{\widehat{\gamma}_{n,k}^H} s^{-\widehat{\gamma}_{n,k}^H}$ ,  $s \rightarrow 0$  (see Weissman, 1978).

It is easy to check that  $\tilde{\mathbb{C}}_{n,\alpha}^{(1)}[X]$  can be rewritten as

$$\tilde{\mathbb{C}}_{n,\alpha}^{(1)}[X] = \frac{1}{1-\alpha} \sum_{j=1}^{n-k} \left( \binom{j}{n} - \alpha \right)_+ - \left( \binom{j-1}{n} - \alpha \right)_+ X_{j,n},$$

where  $(s-\alpha)_+$  is the classical notation for the positive part of  $(s-\alpha)$ . In this paper we deal with the problem of bias of the estimator  $\tilde{\mathbb{C}}_{n,\alpha}[X]$ . Asymptotic normality for  $\tilde{\mathbb{C}}_{n,\alpha}[X]$  is obviously related to the one of  $\hat{\gamma}_{n,k}^H$ . As usual in the extreme value framework, to prove such type of results, we need a second-order condition on the function  $\mathbb{U}(x) = Q(1-1/x)$  such as the following:

**Condition** ( $\mathcal{R}_{\mathbb{U}}$ ). *There exist a function  $A(x) \rightarrow 0$  as  $x \rightarrow \infty$  of constant sign for large values of  $x$  and a second order parameter  $\rho \leq 0$  such that, for every  $x > 0$ ,*

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \mathbb{U}(tx) - \log \mathbb{U}(t) - \gamma \log x}{A(t)} = \frac{x^\rho - 1}{\rho}, \quad (5)$$

when  $\rho = 0$ , then the ratio on the right-hand side of equation (5) should be interpreted as  $\log x$ .

Note that condition ( $\mathcal{R}_{\mathbb{U}}$ ) implies that  $|A|$  is regularly varying with index  $\rho$  (see, e.g. Geluk and de Haan, 1987). It is satisfied for most of the classical distribution functions such as the Pareto, Burr and Fréchet ones.

## 2 Main results

We start to give in Theorem 1, an approximation of  $\tilde{\mathbb{C}}_{n,\alpha}[X]$  in terms of Brownian bridges, which leads to its asymptotic normality stated in Corollary 1. As it exhibits some bias, we propose a reduced-bias estimator.

### 2.1 Asymptotic results for the CTE estimator

**Theorem 1.** *Assume that  $F$  satisfies ( $\mathcal{R}_{\mathbb{U}}$ ) with  $\gamma \in (1/2, 1)$ . They for any sequence of integer  $k = k(n)$  satisfies  $k \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $k/n \rightarrow 0$  and  $\sqrt{k}A(n/k) = O(1)$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , we have*

$$\frac{\sqrt{n}(1-\alpha)}{(k/n)^{1/2}\mathbb{U}(n/k)} \left( \tilde{\mathbb{C}}_{n,\alpha}[X] - \mathbb{C}_\alpha[X] \right) \stackrel{\mathcal{D}}{=} \sqrt{k}A\left(\frac{n}{k}\right) \mathcal{AB}(\gamma, \rho) + \mathbb{W}_{n,1} + \mathbb{W}_{n,2} + \mathbb{W}_{n,3} + o_{\mathbb{P}}(1)$$

where

$$\mathcal{AB}(\gamma, \rho) := \frac{\gamma\rho}{(1-\rho)(\gamma+\rho-1)(1-\gamma)^2}$$

and

$$\begin{cases} \mathbb{W}_{n,1} := -\frac{\int_0^{1-k/n} \mathbb{B}_n(s) dQ(s)}{(k/n)^{1/2}Q(1-k/n)} \\ \mathbb{W}_{n,2} := -\frac{\gamma}{(1-\gamma)} \sqrt{\frac{n}{k}} \mathbb{B}_n(1-k/n) \\ \mathbb{W}_{n,3} := \frac{\gamma}{(1-\gamma)^2} \sqrt{\frac{n}{k}} \int_0^1 s^{-1} \mathbb{B}_n(1-sk/n) d(s\underline{K}(s)). \end{cases}$$

with  $\underline{K}(s) = \mathbb{1}_{0 < s < 1}$ .

**Corollary 1.** *Under the assumptions of Theorem 1, if  $\sqrt{k}A(n/k) \rightarrow \lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ , we have*

$$\frac{\sqrt{n}(1-\alpha)}{(k/n)^{1/2}\mathbb{U}(n/k)} \left( \tilde{\mathbb{C}}_{n,\alpha}[X] - \mathbb{C}_\alpha[X] \right) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} \mathcal{N}(\lambda \mathcal{AB}(\gamma, \rho), \mathcal{AV}(\gamma)).$$

where  $\mathcal{AB}(\gamma, \rho)$  is as above and

$$\mathcal{AV}(\gamma) = \frac{\gamma^4}{(2\gamma - 1)(1 - \gamma)^4}.$$

The goal of the next section is to propose a reduced-bias estimator of  $\mathbb{C}_\alpha[X]$ .

## 2.2 Estimating the CTE with the Least Squared approach

In this paper, we use the bias-reduced estimator of the high quantile  $Q(1-s)$  proposed by Feuerverger and Hall, (1999), Beirlant et al. (2002).

Using  $(\mathcal{R}_\mathbb{U})$ , Feuerverger and Hall (1999) and Beirlant *et al* (1999, 2002) proposed the following exponential regression model for the log-spacings of order statistics:

$$Z_{j,k} \sim \left( \gamma + A(n/k) \left( \frac{j}{k+1} \right)^{-\rho} \right) + \varepsilon_{j,k}, \quad 1 \leq j \leq k, \quad (6)$$

where  $\varepsilon_{j,k}$  are zero-centered error terms. If we ignore the term  $A(n/k)$  in (6), we retrieve the Hill-type estimator  $\hat{\gamma}_{n,k}^H$  by taking the mean of the left-hand side of (6). By using a least-squares approach, (6) can be further exploited to propose a reduced-bias estimator for  $\gamma$  in which  $\rho$  is substituted by a consistent estimator  $\hat{\rho} = \hat{\rho}_{n,k}$  (see for instance Beirlant *et al*, 2002) or by a canonical choice, such as  $\rho = -1$  (see e.g. Feuerverger and Hall (1999) or Beirlant *et al* (1999)).

The least squares estimators for  $\gamma$  and  $A(n/k)$  are then given by

$$\begin{cases} \hat{\gamma}_{n,k}^{LS}(\hat{\rho}) = \frac{1}{k} \sum_{j=1}^k Z_{j,k} - \frac{\hat{A}_{n,k}^{LS}(\hat{\rho})}{1 - \hat{\rho}}, \\ \hat{A}_{n,k}^{LS}(\hat{\rho}) = \frac{(1 - 2\hat{\rho})(1 - \hat{\rho})^2}{\hat{\rho}^2} \frac{1}{k} \sum_{j=1}^k \left( \left( \frac{j}{k+1} \right)^{-\hat{\rho}} - \frac{1}{1 - \hat{\rho}} \right) Z_{j,k}. \end{cases} \quad (7)$$

The asymptotic normality of  $\hat{\gamma}_{n,k}^{LS}(\hat{\rho})$  and  $\hat{A}_{n,k}^{LS}(\hat{\rho})$  is stabilised in Beirlant et al. (2002, Theorem 3.2). Note that  $\hat{\gamma}_{n,k}^{LS}(\rho)$  can be viewed as a kernel estimator

$$\hat{\gamma}_{n,k}^{LS}(\hat{\rho}) = \frac{1}{k} \sum_{j=1}^k K_{\hat{\rho}} \left( \frac{j}{k+1} \right) Z_{j,k},$$

where for  $0 < u \leq 1$ :

$$K_\rho(u) = \frac{1-\rho}{\rho} \underline{K}(u) + \left( 1 - \frac{1-\rho}{\rho} \right) \underline{K}_\rho(u)$$

with  $\underline{K}(u) = \mathbb{1}_{\{0 < u < 1\}}$  and  $\underline{K}_\rho(u) = ((1 - \rho)/\rho)(u^{-\rho} - 1)\mathbb{1}_{\{0 < u < 1\}}$ .

Now, we are going to propose an adaptive unbiased estimation procedure for  $\mathbb{C}_\alpha[X]$  that is based on the above estimators. Considering the following unbiased Weissman's estimator of the extreme quantile base on the second order refinements,

$$\widehat{Q}^{LS, \widehat{\rho}}(1 - s) = (ns/k)^{-\widehat{\gamma}_{n,k}^{LS}(\widehat{\rho})} X_{n-k,n} \left(1 - \widehat{\rho}^{-1} \widehat{A}_{n,k}^{LS}(\widehat{\rho}) \left(1 - (ns/k)^{-\widehat{\rho}}\right)\right), \quad (8)$$

where  $\widehat{\rho}$ ,  $\widehat{\gamma}_{n,k}^{LS}(\widehat{\rho})$  and  $\widehat{A}_{n,k}^{LS}(\widehat{\rho})$  denote the corresponding estimators of  $\rho$ ,  $\gamma$  and  $A(n/k)$  outlined above based on the exponential regression model. By using the same argument in (4), we arrive at the the following unbiased estimator of  $\mathbb{C}_\alpha[X]$

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{\mathbb{C}}_{n,\alpha}^{LS, \widehat{\rho}}[X] &= \frac{1}{1 - \alpha} \sum_{j=1}^{n-k} \left( \binom{j}{n} - \alpha \right)_+ - \left( \binom{j-1}{n} - \alpha \right)_+ X_{j,n} \\ &\quad + \frac{k/n}{(1 - \alpha)(1 - \widehat{\gamma}_{n,k}^{LS}(\widehat{\rho}))} \left( 1 - \frac{\widehat{A}_{n,k}^{LS}(\widehat{\rho})}{\widehat{\gamma}_{n,k}^{LS}(\widehat{\rho}) + \widehat{\rho} - 1} \right) X_{n-k,n}. \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

Our next goal is to establish, under suitable assumptions, the asymptotic normality of  $\widetilde{\mathbb{C}}_{n,\alpha}^{LS, \widehat{\rho}}[X]$  and we provide simulations which aim at studying the practical behavior of the new estimator  $\widetilde{\mathbb{C}}_{n,\alpha}^{LS, \widehat{\rho}}[X]$ , as far as to compare its performances to the biased estimator  $\widetilde{\mathbb{C}}_{n,\alpha}[X]$ . A real case in environmental framework is also analyzed.

## References

- [1] Beirlant, J., Dierckx, G., Goegebeur, M., Matthys, G. (1999). Tail index estimation and an exponential regression model, *Extremes*, **2**, 177-200.
- [2] Beirlant, J., Dierckx, G., Guillou, A., Starica, C. (2002). On exponential representations of log-spacings of extreme order statistics, *Extremes*, **5**, 157-180.
- [3] Feuerverger, A., Hall, P. (1999). Estimating a tail exponent by modelling departure from a Pareto distribution, *Annals of Statistics*, **27**, 760-781.
- [4] Geluk, J.L., de Haan, L. (1987). *Regular variation, extensions and Tauberian theorems*, CWI tract 40, Center for Mathematics and Computer Science, P.O. Box 4079, 1009 AB Amsterdam, The Netherlands.
- [5] Goovaerts, M.J., de Vlyder, F., Haezendonck, J. (1984). *Insurance premiums, theory and applications*, North Holland, Amsterdam.
- [6] Hill, B. M. (1975). A simple approach to inference about the tail of a distribution, *Annals of Statistics*, **3**, 1136-1174.
- [7] Weissman, I., (1978). Estimation of parameters and larges quantiles based on the  $k$  largest observations, *Journal of American Statistical Association*, **73**, 812-815.